JUDICIAL IMPACT FISCAL NOTE

Bill Number:	Title:	Agency:
1790 HB	Dependency Petitions	055 – Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC)

Part I: Estimates

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

	FY 2018	FY 2019	2017-19	2019-21	2021-23
Total:					

Estimated Expenditures from:

STATE	FY 2018	FY 2019	2017-19	2019-21	2021-23
FTE – Staff Years					
Account					
General Fund – State (001-1)					
State Subtotal					
COUNTY					
County FTE Staff Years					
Account					
Local - Counties					
Counties Subtotal					
CITY					
City FTE Staff Years					
Account					
Local – Cities					
Cities Subtotal					
Local Subtotal					
Total Estimated					
Expenditures:					

The revenue and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Responsibility for expenditures may be subject to the provisions of RCW 43.135.060.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

☐ If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent bienn	ia, complete
entire fiscal note form parts I-V	

☐ If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia	, complete this
page only (Part I).	

☐ Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.

Legislative Contact:	Phone:	Date:
Agency Preparation: Renée Lewis	Phone: 360-704-4012	Date: 1/29/2018
Agency Approval: Ramsey Radwan	Phone: 360-357-2406	Date:
OFM Review:	Phone:	Date:

Part II: Narrative Explanation

This bill would relieve counties with paid probation officers from having those officers to determine if a dependency petition is justifiable if DSHS is the petitioner.

Part II.A – Brief Description of what the Measure does that has fiscal impact on the Courts

RCW 13.34.040 would be amended to relieve counties with paid probation officers from having those officers determine if a dependency petition is reasonably justifiable where DSHS is the petitioner.

There is minimal impact to the courts and no impact to AOC.